

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,281	11/12/2003	Steven Stewart Ibara	WindRein	9319
75	90 10/20/2005		EXAM	INER
Elisa Jones 1745 Creek Dr. San Jose, CA 95125-1841			STERLING, AMY JO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,281	IBARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amy J. Sterling	3632
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 A</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		,
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 3632

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the **Final Office Action** for application number 10/712,281 Page Holder Enabling Unencumbered Manual Page Turning, filed on 11/12/03. Claims 1-20 are pending. This **Final Office Action** is in response to applicant's reply dated 8/15/05. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated any new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "spring biased reel" of claims 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 3632

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 5377946 to Pannu.

The patent to Pannu discloses a book holder applied directly to a book having a reading support (24) and a self-retracting reel that is a tensioning mechanism (Spring, with a certain K constant will set a predetermined strength for the reel) set at a predetermined strength being sufficiently strong to retain pages in an open position yet sufficiently light to enable page turning, a plurality of gripping members (housing of 94 and 97) and a retaining line (92) of a predetermined length, the tensioning mechanism being attached to a gripping member and the line being attached to the tensioning mechanism so that the tensioning mechanism feeds and retracts additional line as the page is turned. (See Col. 4 lines 63-68 for operation).

Pannu also teaches the method of providing a page holder, providing a support for reading matter and placing reading matter on the support and attaching gripping

Art Unit: 3632

members to opposed edges of the support and extending the line across the reading matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5377946 to Pannu as applied to claim 1 and 11 above, and in view of United States Patent No. 5855329 to Pagano.

Pannu discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show that the gripping members are clamps.

Pagano teaches a book holder (10) with a book support and retention device (28), which has clamping gripping members (35) attached to the support, which are used in order to clamp the book cover in place, to further secure the book to the holder.

used for retracting a line into the reel (22), the method being inherent from the structure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Pagano to have used clamps as gripping members in order to further secure the book to the holder.

Claims 5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5377946 to Pannu as applied to claims 1, 3, 11 and 13 above, and in view of United States Patent No. 4826099 to Johnson.

Art Unit: 3632

Pannu discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show that the reel is biased by an elastic band nor the method wherein the reel is biased by an elastic band.

Johnson shows a self retracting reel (22) which is biased by an elastic band (26), used for retracting a line into the reel (22), the method being inherent from the structure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Johnson to have used an elastic band to bias the reel, in order to retract the line into the reel.

Claims 6, 7, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5377946 to Pannu as applied to claims 1, 3, 11 and 13 above, and in view of United States Patent No. 6375165 to Sherratt et al.

Pannu discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show a tension adjustment control on the reel so that the tension ranges can be between 0.05 N to 0.6 N.

Sherratt et al. shows a winding mechanism with a tension adjustment control (68), used for adjusting the tension of the material wrapped around the reel (20) of the winding mechanism. Sherratt et al. does not disclose the specific tension range of .05N to .6N, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have this range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3632

Therefore, both limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in order to adjust and control the tension of the line as taught by Sherratt et al. in order to control the tension of the line in Pannu.

Claims 8, 9, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5377946 to Pannu as applied to claims 1, 8, 11 and 18 above, and in view of United States Patent Publication No. 2004/0076825 A1 to Hashimoto et al.

Pannu discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show wherein the retaining line is a monofilament made from polyvinyledine fluoride or the method of providing the monofilament of polyvinyledine fluoride.

Hashimoto et al. discloses lines made of monofilaments that are polyvinyledine fluoride commonly termed PVDF, used for is resistance to deterioration in the mechanical properties. The method is inherent from the structural teachings in Hashimoto et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Hashimoto et al. to have used this material and to have the method of using this material, in order to prevent deterioration of the mechanical properties of the line.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5377946 to Pannu as applied to claim 1 above, and in view of United States Patent No. 3813075 to Capper.

Pannu discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show that the device is a clipboard.

Capper shows a book holding device, that is a clipboard, (at 23) used to hold a book or loose pages in place. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Capper to have made the book holding device as a clipboard to hold a book or loose pages in place.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3632

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached at 571-272-6788. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 7571-273-8300 (formal amendments) or 571-273-6823 (informal amendments and communications). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

AJS AMY J. Sterling 10/13/05

SERT P. OLSZEWSKI

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

11100LOGY CENTER 3600

10/17/05

Page 8